### Eastern Railway

## (Personnel Department)

17 N. S. Road, Kolkata - 700 001

Serial Circular No.16/2021

No. E. 511/0/Pt.I

Kolkata, Dated: 11/03/2021

### All Concerned

(as per standard list)

Sub: Grant of notional increment (as due on 1<sup>st</sup> July) for the pensionary benefits to those employees who had retired on 30<sup>th</sup> of June before drawing the same - Clarification reg.

\*\*\*\*

A copy of Ministry of Railways (Railway Board)'s letter bearing no. PC-VI/2020/Misc./01, dated 01/03/2021 is sent herewith along with its enclosure, containing DoP&T's OM No. 19/2/2018-Estt (Pay-I), dated 03/02/2021, for information, guidance, and strict compliance. Board's letter dated 21/05/2020 mentioned therein was circulated under this office serial No. 46/2020.

DA: As above.

(Pallavi Goswami)

Dy. Chief Personnel Officer/HQ For Pr. Chief Personnel Officer

Phone No. 24106(Rly.)

Index No. 1057: Guidelines for dealing with court cases on the issue of grant of notional increment for the pensionary benefits to those employees who had retired on 30<sup>th</sup> of June before drawing the same.

## **GOVERNMENT OF INDIA** MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (RAILWAY BOARD)

No. PC-VI/2020/Misc./01

New Delhi, dated: 01.03.2021

The General Manager (P), All Indian Railways & Production Units

(Attn.: All PCPOs)

Sub: Grant of notional increment (as due on 1st July) for the pensionary benefits to those

employees who had retired on 30th of June before drawing the same - Clarification

Board's letter No. PC-VI/2018/R-I/1 Pt. dated 21.05.2020. Ref:

Attention is invited to Board's letter under reference whereby the advice/ clarification of DOP&T on the issue of grant of notional increment for the pensionary benefits to those employees who had retired on 30<sup>th</sup> of June was circulated to all Zonal Railways & Production Units with a request to dispose all pending representations/ defend pending court cases, if any, on the issue accordingly.

- 2. It has been observed that fresh cases on the issue of notional increment benefit are being filed all across the Indian Railways. Accordingly, the matter was again referred to DOP&T seeking further guidelines for effectively contesting such cases. Consequently, DOP&T vide their O.M. No. 19/2/2018-Estt (Pay-I) dated 03.02.2021 has issued further clarification in the matter citing various judgements pronounced in favour of Union of India/ relevant rule provisions etc. A copy of DOP&T's O.M. dated 03.02.2021 is attached herewith for effective utilization of the same in defending/ disposing various cases/representations on the issue of notional increment.
- The above guidelines/ clarification of DOP&T may be suitably incorporated in the counter reply proposed to be filed before the Hon'ble CAT/ Court while defending such cases. The counter reply so prepared may be sent invariably to this office for approval before filing. It must be ensured that in any case the counter reply shall not be filed without getting the same approved from this office.
- Considering the seriousness of the matter, various Railways where similar cases have been filed, were advised to nominate a competent JA Grade officer to regularly monitor these cases in consultation with Railway Advocate. It was also desired that Railway Board be kept apprised of these cases regularly. However, it is seen that the monitoring of these cases has not been at the desired level in some of the Railways.
- It is also advised that as soon as the case is decided necessary application for supply of certified copy should be made. Upon receipt of the same, a copy thereof may invariably be sent to this office for being shared with other Railways or taking necessary remedial action, as the case may be. In the event of the case being decided against the interests of Union of India, process for drafting and filing Review Application/ Writ Petition should be initiated expeditiously in consultation with this office.
- Receipt of this letter may please be acknowledged.

DA: As above

Executive Director, Pay Commission - II Railway Board

# F. No. 19/2/2018-Estt (Pay-I) Government of India Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions (Department of Personnel & Training)

North Block, New Delhi Dated 3 February, 2021

#### OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: References/Representations/Court cases for granting notional increment for pensionary benefits in pursuance of the judgement dated 15.09.2017 of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P. No. 15732 of 2017 in the case of P. Ayyamperumal Vs Union of India & Ors-regarding.

The undersigned is directed to refer to references/representations/court cases/VIP references, received in this Department in large numbers on the issue of granting notional increment for pensionary benefits to those Central government servants who have retired on 30<sup>th</sup> June/ 31<sup>st</sup> December of a year, in pursuance of the judgement dated 15.09.2017 of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P. No. 15732 of 2017 in the case of P. Ayyamperumal Vs Union of India & Ors.

- 2. The issue has been examined in this Department in consultation with Department of Legal Affairs and it has been observed that the judgement dated 15.09.2017 of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P. No.15732 of 2017 in the case of P. Ayyamperumal Vs Union of India & Ors. is 'in personam' in nature. A brief note reflecting the Government's stand on this issue is attached as Annexure-I.
- 3. Further, it is also mentioned that in a similar case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide judgment dated 29.03.2019 (copy enclosed as Annexure-II), while dismissing the SLP (C) Dy. No.6468/2019 filed by D/o Telecommunications against the judgment dated 03.05.2017 of Hon'ble High Court, Lucknow Bench in WP No.484/2010 in the matter of UOI & Ors. Vs. Sakha Ram Tripathy & Ors., has, inter alia, observed the following:

"There is delay of 566 days in filing the special leave petition. We do not see any reason to condone the delay. The Special leave petition is dismissed on delay, **keeping all the questions of law open.**"

4. Since the question of law is open and not yet decided, decision for implementation of the judgement dated 15.09.2017 of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P. No. 15732 of 2017 in Shri P. Ayyamperumal case, in rem has not been taken.

- Accordingly, all Ministries/Departments are, therefore, advised to dispose of all pending grievances seeking notional increment for pensionary benefits and also to defend the various pending Court Cases in this matter.
- In their application to the persons belonging to Indian Audit and Accounts Department, these orders are issued under Article 148(5) of the Constitution and after consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General of India.
- 7. Hindi Version will follow.

(Murali Bhavaraju)

Deputy Secretary to the Government of India Tel. No.011-23094542

To

All Ministries/Departments of Government of India.

Copy also forwarded to:-

- 1. The Comptroller & Auditor General of India.
- 2. Secretary General, Supreme Court of India.
- 3. Controller General of Accounts/ Controller of Accounts, Ministry of
- 4. Union Public Service Commission/ Lok Sabha Sectt./ Rajya Sabha Sectt./ Cabinet Sectt./ Central Vigilance Commission/ President's Sectt./ Vice-President's Sectt/ Prime Minister Office/ Niti Aayog.
- 5. Government of all States and Union Territories
- 6. Department of Personnel and Training (AIS Division)/ JCA/ Admn. Section
- 7. Secretary, National Council of JCM (Staff Side), 13-C, Feroz shah Road, New Delhi.
- 8. All Members of Staff Side of the National Council of JCM/ Department Council.
- 9. All Officers/ Sections of Department of Personnel and Training/ Department of Administrative Reforms & Public Grievances/ Department of Pensions & Pensioners' Welfare/ PESB.
- 10. Joint Secretary (Pers.), Department of Expenditure, Ministry of
- 11. Additional Secretary (Union Territories), Ministry of Home Affairs.
- 12. NIC, DOPT with request to upload this O.M. on the Department's website under OMs & Orders (Establishment-Pay Rules) and also under "What is New".
- 13. Hindi Section, DOPT for Hindi Translation.

Deputy Secretary to the Government of India Tel. No.011-23094542 Note on issue of granting a notional increment for pensionary benefits in pursuance of the judgment dated 15.09.2017 of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P. No.15732 of 2017 in the case of P. Ayyamperumal Vs Union of India & Ors.

\*\*\*

Hon'ble High Court of Madras, vide Order dated 15.09.2017, allowed the W.P. No. 15732 of 2017 filed by Shri P. Ayyamperumal relying upon its earlier judgment dated 20.09.2012 in W.P. No. 8440 of 2011 M. Balasubramaniam Vs State of Tamil Nadu. The said case referred by Hon'ble High Court in the said judgement is related to the Fundamental Rules of Tamil Nadu Government whereas the case of petitioner Shri P. Ayyamperumal relates to Central Government Rules. As per the provisions under the Tamil Nadu Fundamental Rule 26(a), the annual increments of the Govt. Servants are regulated in four quarters viz. 1st January, 1st April, 1st July and 1st October. For the Central Government, the increment accrues annually on 1st July only (6th CPC scenario) [now 1st July and 1st January in 7th CPC scenario]. Hence, argument of petitioner is devoid of merits.

In light of the relevant provisions of the Fundamental Rules like 9 2. (21), 9(6), 17(1), 22, 26(a) and 56(a), as also the provisions of CCS (RP) Rules, 2008, a person appointed as a Government servant is entitled to pay, and is also entitled to draw the annual increment as long as such Government servant discharges duties of the post. However, such Government servant may not be entitled to draw the pay and allowances attached to the post as soon as he ceases to discharge those duties. In other words, as per F.R. 17 read with F.Rs. 24 and 26, annual increment is given to a Government servant to enable him to discharge duty and draw pay and allowances attached to the post. If such Government servant ceases to discharge duties by any reason say, by reason of attainment of age of superannuation, he will not be entitled to draw pay and allowances. Such an employee would not be entitled to any increment if it falls due after the date of retirement, be it on the next day of retirement or sometime thereafter. An employee must satisfy not only the condition of becoming entitled for increment, but also should continue to be on duty as a Government servant on the due date (1st July/ 1st January) to avail the increment.

3. Further, in a similar matter, Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad, in the year 2005, in the C. Subbarao case, has, inter alia, observed as under:

"In support of the above observations, the Division Bench also placed reliance on Banerjee case (supra). We are afraid, the Division Bench was not correct in coming to the conclusion that being a reward for unblemished past service, Government servant retiring on the last day of the month would also be entitled for increment even after such increment is due after retirement. We have already made reference to all Rules governing the situation. There is no warrant to come to such conclusion. Increment is given (See Article 43 of CS Regulations) as a periodical rise to a Government employee for the good behavior in the service. Such increment is possible only when the appointment is "Progressive Appointment" and it is not a universal rule. Further, as per Rule 14 of the Pension Rules, a person is entitled for pay, increment and other allowances only when he is entitled to receive pay from out of Consolidated Fund of India and continues to be in Government service. A person who retires on the last working day would not be entitled for any increment falling due on the next day and payable next day thereafter (See Article 151 of CS Regulations), because he would not answer the tests in these Rules. Reliance placed on Banerjee case (supra) is also in our considered opinion not correct because as observed by us, Banerjee case (supra) does not deal with increment, but deals with enhancement of DA by the Central Government to pensioners. Therefore, we are not able to accept the view taken by the Division Bench. We accordingly, overrule the judgment in Malakondalah case (supra)."

4. In addition, subsequent to the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the P. Ayyamperumal case, Hon'ble CAT Madras Bench vide its Orders dated 19.03.2019 in O.A.No.310/00309/2019 and O.A. No.310/00312/2019 and Order dated 27.03.2019 in O.A. No.310/00026/2019 has also dismissed similar requests related with notional increment for pensionary benefits.

\*\*\*\*

TTEM NO.14

COURT NO.7

SECTION XI

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA: RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

### SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 6468/2019

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-05-2017 in Service Bench No. 484/2010 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad, Lucknow Bench)

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAKHA RAM TRIPATHI

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and Interim Relief and TA No.44315/2019-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

Date : 29-03-2019 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALITHON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDU MALHOTRA

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Madhvi Diyan, ASG

Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv

Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D  $\in$  R

We have heard Ms. Madhvi Divan, learned Additional Solicitor General for the petitioner-Union of India.

There is delay of 566 days in filing the special leave petition. We do not see any reason to condone the delay.

The special leave petition is dismissed on delay, keeping all

the questions of law open.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(MAHABIR SINGH) COURT MASTER (RAJINDER KAUR) BRANCH OFFICER } }.

r>

J. Si

31.

, ,